"Core disgust" is a food related emotion that is rooted in evolution but is also a cultural product. Seven categories of disgust elicitors have been observed in an American sample. These include food, animals, body products, sexual deviance, body-envelope violations, poor hygiene, and contact with death. In addition, social concerns such as interpersonal contamination and sociomoral violations are also associated with disgust. Cross-cultural analyses of disgust and its elicitors using Israeli, Japanese, Greek and Hopi notions of disgust were undertaken. It was noted that disgust elicitors have expanded from food to the social order and have been found in many cultures. Explanations for this expansion are provided in terms of embodied schemata, which refer to imaginative structures or patterns of experience that are based on bodily knowledge or sensation. A mechanism is suggested whereby disgust elicitors are viewed as a prototypically defined category involving many of the embodied schemata of disgust. It is argued that each culture draws upon these schemata and its social and moral life is based on them.

Body, Psyche, and Culture: The Relationship between Disgust and Morality*

JONATHAN HAIDT

University of Virginia Charlottesville

PAUL ROZIN

University of Pennsylvania

CLARK MCCAULEY

Bryn Mawr College

SUMIO IMADA

Hiroshima-Shudo University

* This research was supported by the National Institute of Mental Health Training Program in Culture and Mental Health, Grant PHS5T32MH19098, and by

In the words of Clifford Geertz (1973), "man is an animal suspended in webs of significance he himself has spun, [and] I take culture to be those webs". But from what do we spin those webs? In this paper an attempt will be made to analyse the surprisingly complex emotion of disgust and show how the web of culture might be spun, in part, from some aspects of human bodily experience. Further, an attempt will be made to show how the emotion of disgust, which may have evolved to help our omnivorous species figure out what to eat in the physical world, now helps our social species figure out what to do in the cultural world.

This paper is divided into four parts. First, an emotion we call "core disgust" will be described. This is followed by a discussion of the way core disgust has been expanded and elaborated in an American population. Then an attempt will be made to examine how core disgust has been elaborated cross-culturally. And finally, drawing upon the first three parts it is discussed how disgust is based on a set of "embodied schemata" that are extended in culturally variable ways from the issues of core disgust to a broader set of physical and social issues.

Core Disgust

Many animals are born knowing what to eat, and they instinctively seek out the visual image, scent, or taste of a particular food (for instance, koala bears eat only the leaves of a few species of eucalyptus trees). Human beings, however, must learn what to eat. Like rats, pigs, herring gulls and cockroaches, we are omnivores. The omnivorous strategy has the advan-

grants from the Whitehall Foundation and the MacArthur Foundation. We thank Diana Cruz, Amy Abramson, and Michael Wozniak for providing most of the cross-cultural data reported here. This paper benefited greatly from comments by Desiree Alvarez, Bob Aunger, Alan Fiske, Anna Hirata, Carol Nemeroff, Rick Shweder, and Katarzyna Ziabicka. Address correspondence to Jon Haidt, Department of Psychology, University of Virginia, 102 Gilmer Hall, Charlotesville, Virginia 22903.

opposing facts create the "omnivore's dilemma" (Rozin, 1976). gust and sensation seeking as opposing motivations. sensitivity. This observation supports the conception of discorrelated (negatively) with individual differences in disgust Zuckerman's (1979) Sensation Seeking Scale are significantly we have repeatedly observed that individual differences on measure disgust sensitivity (Haidt, McCauley, & Rozin, 1993), ticularly animal foods. In our efforts to construct a scale to people are "neophobic", or cautious about new foods, parphysical experience, including new foods. On the other hand, or motivations. On the one hand, people are sensation seekers ambivalence about food, manifested in two competing goals, scrutiny and tasted cautiously. People therefore have a strong new potential foods are explored, but they are subjected to The omnivore's strategy seems to be a kind of fearful interest: suming toxins or a nutritionally unbalanced diet. These two food source. But omnivores face the attendant risk of contages of flexibility and freedom from dependence on any one (Zuckerman, 1979), motivated to seek out novel forms of

a lollipop with a healthy stranger. Disgust is triggered off not to the body (Rozin & Fallon, 1980, 1987). different from rejections based on bad taste or on fear of harm we conceptualise disgust as a distinct form of food rejection, tional concerns about what it is, or where it has been. In fact, primarily by the sensory properties of an object, but by ideathat Americans would refuse to eat a fried cockroach, or share nating) do not necessarily taste bad: it is not because of taste Conversely, things that are disgusting (and therefore contamitouches a potato on our plate, we would still eat the potato are not necessarily contaminating: if a vegetable we dislike is revealed by contamination sensitivity. Things that taste bad is not primarily a matter of avoiding bad tastes, but rather it food unacceptable." This definition makes it clear that disgust briefly contact an acceptable food, they tend to render that at the prospect of (oral) incorporation of an offensive object Rozin and Fallon (1987, p. 23) define disgust as "Revulsion hinges on the more complex notion of "offensiveness", which The offensive objects are contaminants; that is, if they even Disgust guards against far more than just harmful foods

similar in some properties are believed to be fundamentally ond law of sympathetic magic is *similarity*, which Frazer summarises as "like produces like" (p. 35). Things that are terwards to act on each other" (Frazer, 1890/1959, p. 35). have once been in contact with each other continue ever affollows two laws of sympathetic magic first described by Tylo: to drink apple juice out of a new bedpan, even though they similar, or even identical. Thus, Americans are often reluctant mitted, even when no material particles are visible. The secpreviously neutral object, some essence or residue is trans-When an offensive (or revered) person or animal touches a (1902/1972). The first law, contagion, states that "things which (1871/1974), James Frazer (1890/1959) and Marcel Mauss & Nemeroff, 1986; Rozin & Nemeroff, 1990). Related to these to consume chocolate fudge in the shape of dog faeces, or a particular animal, one takes on the traits of that animal two laws of sympathetic magic is the widespread belief that "know" there is no threat of contamination (Rozin, Millman, lege students as well (Nemeroff & Rozin, 1989). This belief has been found to be operative in American col "you are what you eat". Many cultures believe that by eating In its ability to spread from one object to another, disgus

which are transmitted primarily by physical contact with aniadaptation to life in the presence of microbes and parasites. cautious about physical contact (direct or indirect) with aniof their association with any of them. If disgust makes people objects that resemble any of these, or are disgusting by virtue (including humans), animal parts, animal waste products, or their definition of disgust (cited earlier) are indeed animals that, for Americans, most of the physical objects that meet Rozin and Fallon (1980; Fallon & Rozin, 1983) have confirmed that disgust centres on animals or animal waste products simply with the sensory properties of those things an advantage on those individuals who were concerned with evolved through natural selection. Disgust may have conferred most part of human history, it is at least plausible that disgust sites have been killing or weakening human beings for the mals and people, and their residues. Since microbes and paramals and people, then disgust could be a uniquely human the contact history of things they touched and ate, rather than Angyal (1941), in his classic paper on disgust, suggested

On this view it makes sense that plants and plant products are rarely disgusting. Plants may contain toxins that are dangerous if consumed directly, but plants pose little threat to humans by the sort of indirect contact that can spread germs and parasites.

If disgust evolved to serve these important adaptive functions—food selection and disease avoidance—then it is particularly surprising that the disgust response is almost totally lacking in young children. Indeed, young children will put almost anything into their mouths, including faeces, and the full disgust response (including contamination sensitivity) is not seen until around the age of 5 to 7 (Rozin, Hammer, Oster, Horowitz, & Marmora, 1986; Rozin, Fallon, & Augustoni-Ziskind, 1985; Siegal, 1988). Contamination sensitivity is also not observed, so far as we know, in any non-human species. Caution is, therefore, warranted in proposing that disgust is important for biological survival. The social functions of disgust may be more important than its biological functions.

Disgust may have its roots in evolution, but it is also clearly a cultural product. Like language and sexuality, the adult form of disgust varies in accordance with culture, and children must be "trained-up" in the local rules and meanings. It is, therefore, interesting to note that in his review of approximately 50 cases of feral humans, Malson (1964/1972) found none who showed any sign of disgust.

In conclusion, disgust, or what we call "core disgust", is a food related emotion that makes us cautious about what we touch or put into our mouths, both because of what an object is and because of where it bas been or what it bas touched. Core disgust focuses on issues of food, and on animals and body products, which are contaminators of food. The oral and food rejection focus of core disgust is reflected in its distinctive facial expression, including a wrinkled nose and retraction of the upper lip, and sometimes a gape as well (Darwin, 1872/1965; Ekman & Friesen, 1978; Izard, 1977; Rozin, Ebert, & Lowery, 1992). Core disgust is associated with feelings of revulsion and nausea, and in extreme cases it can lead to vomiting. Since core disgust is conceived of here as a guardian of the mouth, its connections to nausea and vomiting seem quite straightforward: nausea discourages eating.

and vomiting allows mistakes to be undone. (For a complete review of disgust see Rozin & Fallon, 1987; Rozin, Haidt, & McCauley, 1993.)

Elaborated Disgust in the United States

of events. First, there are frequent references to sexual matour analysis of core disgust cannot explain two other kinds explain why sexual "violations" and body envelope violations other deviation from the cultural ideal of "normal" heteroters, such as incest, homosexuality, bestiality, or almost any issues of core disgust: foods, animals, and body products. Yet, things that disgust them, they made frequent references to are disgusting. These violations neither involve food nor the body. If we think of disgust as an oral defence then we cannot deformity. This last set of examples all involve a forcible accidents, mutilated corpses, surgery, wounds, and physical disgust? mouth, so what is it that links them to the issues of core breach or alteration of the exterior envelope of the human sexuality. Second, there are frequent references to bloody car When people in the United States were questioned about

cerns about hygiene and animality helps explain the otherwise tivity (Haidt, McCauley, & Rozin, 1993). The link between conself and others emerge to be good predictors of disgust sensi or shunned. Thus, concerns about personal hygiene of the people who violate these prescriptions are typically reviled proper buman way to handle these biological functions, and we menstruated or gave birth. Every culture prescribes the would bleed when our outer envelope was breached, or when mains: we would still eat, excrete, and have sex, and we are not animals, our body would confound us in certain doals and practices. If we want to convince ourselves that we hide their animal nature behind the cover of humanising rituanimals (Leach, 1964; Ortner, 1973; Tambiah, 1969), and to in many cultures feel the need to distinguish themselves from is that they remind us of our animal nature. Human beings One of the most widely shared features of disgusting events

puzzling statement that "cleanliness is indeed next to godliness" (attributed by Bartlett to the theologian John Wesley, Sermon XCII). There is a long tradition in Western religious practice (Douglas, 1966), as in Indian religious practice (Fuller, 1992), in which bodily cleanliness and "purity" are essential before one can approach God. Human beings are suspended between God (or Gods) above and animals below, and we rise and fall as a function of our success in concealing or overcoming our animality.

Perhaps the clearest statement of the horrors of animality comes from the New England Puritan Cotton Mather, who observed a dog urinating while he himself was urinating, and was shocked at the vileness of his own act. Mather then made this resolution in his diary: "Yet I will be a more noble creature; and at the very time when my natural necessities debase me into the condition of the beast, my spirit shall (I say at that very time!) rise and soar..." (cited in Thomas, 1983, p. 38). The fear of animality, however, is not unique to Christians; Leach (1964) has observed that animal names are widely used as insults cross-culturally, metaphorically pushing a person over the symbolically charged human—animal boundary.

Consistent with this animal reminder account of disgust is the fact that there is only one body product that is not regarded as disgusting by Americans, or by many other peoples: tears. (Imagine that you lend your handkerchief to an acquaintance, who returns it wet with mucous, urine, sweat, saliva, breast milk, semen, or tears. In which case would you be least uncomfortable?) Ortner (1973) has pointed out that tears are a uniquely human product, while all other secretions and excretions link us to animals. Unlike most body products, tears are more frequently referred to in poetry than in "dirty" jokes.

This animal reminder view of disgust also highlights a common quality of food, sex, and envelope violations. In all three domains there are many safe options available to human beings, yet many or most options are taboo. Almost all animal flesh is edible and nutritious, yet most human societies taboo many of the animal species available to them (Soler, 1973/1979; Douglas, 1966). All human beings (and some animals too) are potential sexual partners, yet most human societies

place taboo on many of the possible pairings of partners (and many of the possible sexual acts). There are dozens of safe modifications of the body envelope, yet most human societies taboo all but a few (such as ear piercing, "nose jobs", body building, and perhaps breast enlargement or reduction for Americans). Americans would consider it monstrous (that is, inhuman) for a person to engage in unrestricted sex, unrestricted eating of animal flesh, or unrestricted body modification

Food and sex taboos may have a further similarity in that the middle distance is, often the preferred range. Based on his study of a Thai village, Tambiah (1969) noted that animals cannot be eaten if they are too close to humans (pets, monkeys, humans), or too distant from humans (invertebrates and other "anomalous" animals; wild animals of the forest). Sexual partners cannot be too similar to the self (same sex, same nuclear family) or too distant (animals, people of other races). In many societies the prevalence of an incest taboo coupled with a preference for cross-cousin marriage exemplifies this preference for the middle distance.

would be disgusting, while genital piercing and the consumpcannot be based on rational fears about health. (If health conto disgust, point to a concern about the human body that sexuality and body modification, and the linkage of all three with disgust, which guards against more subtle threats to the which guards primarily against physical threats to the body, use or modification of the temple. Rozin (1990) contrasts fear, envelope violation and hygiene guard against any undignified self or the soul within. This temple must be carefully guarded parts of the world: that the body is a temple, housing the seem at times to hold a view of the body observed in other tion of slugs or human flesh would not.) Rather, Americans cerns motivated disgust then skydiving, cyanide and butter "soul". We would like to reiterate the contrast here: disgust tion, and the extended animal reminder concerns about sex, that disgust is best understood as the guardian of the temple against all forms of pollution or desecration, and we propose involves a vertical dimension of degradation-elevation and a of the body. Core disgust guards against material contamina-The massive restrictions that Americans place on eating,

link to notions of purity and sacredness, which are not found in fear.

defining specifically human ways to perform them. Becker's cause we fear that, like animals, we are mortal. We thus atour analysis of disgust. We fear recognising our animality behuman life is pointless and brief. Becker's thesis fits well with ure, attempts to deny or repress the fear that, ultimately, ing humans. Human culture and heroism are, in large measthesis helps explain another kind of disgust elicitor: corpses. tempt to hide the animality of our biological processes by the fear of death and insignificance is the greatest fear haunt-(1973) has offered one possible motive. Becker's thesis is that ies as more than biological machines. But why? Ernest Becker selves from animals, and that they (sometimes) see their bod the best predictors of a person's overall disgust sensitivity. that reactions to contact with corpses and death are among (Haidt, McCauley, & Rozin, 1993), we have repeatedly found In our efforts to construct a scale to measure disgust sensitivity We have argued that Americans want to distinguish them-

To summarise the argument so far, seven categories of disgust elicitors have been described for Americans. Food, animals, and body products are elicitors of core disgust, which may be an evolutionary adaptation to life in the presence of microbial threats. Core disgust can be thought of as an oral defence, and elicitors of core disgust are contaminating. Americans also find sexual "deviance", body envelope violations, poor hygiene, and contact with death disgusting. This expanded domain of disgust is referred to as "animal reminder" disgust, which can be thought of as a defence of the temple of the body, or (similarly) as a defence of the distinction between humans and animals.

We will now introduce two social concerns that, for Americans, are also associated with disgust. The first concern is about interpersonal contamination in general. Many Americans show some reluctance to wear clothing that was previously worn by a healthy stranger (Rozin, Millman, & Nemeroff, 1986; Rozin, Nemeroff, Wane, & Sherrod, 1989). This reluctance might at first seem to be based on a concern about body products, since used clothing may contain sweat or hair from the stranger. Yet the reluctance decreases only slightly when

the article of clothing is laundered. More importantly, this reluctance is highly contingent on the nature of the stranger. If the stranger committed a murder, or lost a leg in a car accident, the reluctance increases. If the stranger was Adolph Hitler, the reluctance increases even more. If the clothing was worn by a desirable or well-liked person, the reluctance may reverse (Nemeroff & Rozin, 1992; Rozin, Nemeroff, Wane, & Sherrod, 1989). These findings demonstrate the operation of contagion in the interpersonal domain (Rozin & Nemeroff, 1990). We suggest that contamination sensitivity, which helps us avoid pollution and maintain purity in the material world, should be thought of as a schema that we have extended into the social world, where we use it to avoid "evil" and increase contact with "goodness". The nature of this schema will be discussed in the following.

The second social concern is more problematic. When we ask Americans to list disgusting things, we find frequent references to racism, brutality, hypocrisy, political attitudes, and violations of important social relationships. Lawyers who chase ambulances are disgusting. People who abandon their elderly parents are disgusting. Liberals say that conservatives are disgusting. Conservatives say that welfare cheaters are disgusting. This widespread usage of the word "disgust" for such socio-moral violations is puzzling, since these violations seem to have nothing to do with concerns about the body, or about animality. They do not seem to be derived from the issues of core disgust or animal reminder disgust. How can socio-moral issues be disgusting?

The puzzle of socio-moral disgust could be solved simply by declaring it to be a quirk of the English language. In English, when we intensely dislike something, we say it is "disgusting", or that it makes us "sick". This could be just a figure of speech, a kind of metaphorical evocation of disgust and its somatic expression as nausea. After all, no matter how "sick" a politician makes us feel, we would not vomit after hearing a political speech. To determine whether socio-moral disgust is a metaphoric quirk of the English language we must examine other languages and cultures.

A central question will occupy us for the rest of this paper: are the issues of core disgust, animal-reminder disgust, inter-

personal contamination, and socio-moral disgust linked together in other cultures, and if so, what does this reveal about the relationships among the body, the psyche, and culture?

Elaborated Disgust in Cross-Cultural Perspective

To obtain a cross-cultural perspective on disgust and its elicitors we interviewed non-native speakers of English who are living or studying in the United States. We observed important cross-language differences in the semantic domains of words for disgust, yet it is abundantly clear that socio-moral disgust is not a quirk of English. Most of the languages we studied have a word with a compound semantic domain linking together bodily concerns (about food, faeces, cockroaches, sex) with social and moral concerns, for instance, French degout, German ekel, Russian otorasbcbenie, Spanish asco, Hebrew go-al, Japanese ken'o, Chinese aw-sbin, and Bengali gbenna. An Israeli woman, interviewed by Amy Abramson at the University of Chicago, was asked to describe what sorts of situations might make her feel go-al. She said:

A horrible accident and you see body parts all over the place. That would be *go-al*. Or, you see an extremely fat person—in the nude. Blech! Especially if it's on the beach and it's like "How dare you" if you're not perfect, to show off. *Go-al* will be something if you really dislike a politician, you would use the word *go-al*. Israelis are very, very political people. You feel *go-al* if someone just picked his nose and are it later. Ewwww! Clipping your fingernails in public.... [When asked what it feels like to feel *go-al*, she said:] It feels like you could throw up.... You feel like you'd like to be ten thousand miles away from this place. Like you want nothing to do with this place, incident, or event.... You make faces and noises, an international noise like "bloooch!"

Note that this woman began by talking about body parts (envelope violations), moved on to a naked fat person (combining

physical "deformity" with social violation), then talked about a politician (socio-moral), and in the next breath, returned to body products. The English language is not unique in linking core disgust, animal reminder disgust, and socio-moral disgust together under one word, and linking all these issues to nausea and revulsion.

sorted into groups of similar events, it was found that core own experiences in which they felt ken'o. Another group of at Hiroshima-Shudo University to list three events from their difference in the socio-moral domain. We asked 20 students my oven and watching 87 large hungry cockroaches crawl one said, "a flying cockroach", and in Chicago, "turning on and in Chicago, "seeing my brother's diapers". In Hiroshima, other group. In Hiroshima, one subject said, "stepping in feces", disgust items accounted for about one-quarter of all responses three events in which they felt disgust. When the items were 24 students at the University of Chicago was asked to describe ing core disgust and socio-moral issues, but with an intriguing traffic accidents, and sexual issues. other group. People in both cities mentioned surgery, bloody tioned by each group were recognised by members of the observable in both cities, but again, the kinds of items menof core disgust. The animal reminder disgust items were less out of it". People in both cultures are talking about issues by each group were easily recognised by members of the in both samples. Furthermore, the specific items mentioned The Japanese word ken'o reveals the familiar pattern of link

Turning to the socio-moral disgust items, the percentage of such items was similar (61 per cent in Hiroshima, and 70 per cent in Chicago), but the focus of the two groups was very different. For Americans, socio-moral disgust is a kind of character judgment of others, especially of people who violate the basic dignity of other human beings. The largest single class of events, accounting for 21 per cent of the total pool of responses, described acts of senseless violence or cruelty, especially towards people who are weak or defenceless. Examples included Serbian atrocities, a recent Chicago mass murder, children who tease homeless people, and "a father who shook his child to death because he didn't like something that he saw during a football game". The second largest class,

accounting for 19 per cent of all responses, included ugly or offensive beliefs and attitudes, especially racist attitudes. The American respondents occasionally mentioned situations in which they themselves were mistreated, but for the most part they mentioned evil people whom they had met or heard about

For the Japanese, in contrast, ken'o was experienced during their everyday social interactions, in situations where things were not going right. They mentioned everyday frustrations in which other people failed to meet their needs, or even worse, where other people abused or shamed them. For example, "when I was criticized for my driving with very harsh words", or "when punks tried to pick a quarrel with me". They also mentioned situations where they themselves failed to live up to certain standards, for example, "When I found myself not to be the person that I should be", or "When I did not find my name on the board where names are posted of people who passed the entrance exam".

Thus, Americans and Japanese did not differ in linking the issues of core disgust and animal reminder disgust to certain social issues, but they differed in the kinds of social issues that they mentioned. Americans connected their feelings about cockroaches and faeces to their feelings regarding racism and senseless murder, while the Japanese connected their feelings about cockroaches and faeces to their feelings about frustration, indignation, and failure. An attempt will be made to explain this divergence in socio-moral concern later.

The ancient Greeks also linked their concepts of physical contamination and social violation. Parker (1983) has analysed the concept of miasma in ancient Greek societies. Miasma and other words derived from the root mia, have the basic sense of pollution, defilement, or impairment of a thing's form or integrity. The condition of miasma has three properties:

(a) it makes the person affected ritually impure, and thus unfit to enter a temple; (b) it is contagious; and (c) "it is dangerous, and this danger is not of familiar secular origin. Two typical sources of such a condition are contact with a corpse, or a murderer" (Parker, 1983, p. 4). The logic of miasma is similar to the logic of disgust. Miasma involves a distancing from divinity, contagion, and a kind of threat or danger that cannot

be explained as a rational fear of harm from the object itself. Parker has specifically stated that *miasma* resembles the English concept of disgust in uniting both the "physically repugnant" and "what is morally outrageous" (1983, p. 4).

Most important for the current analysis, the Greeks saw a clear connection between animality and socio-moral violation. The adjective *mtarot*, which Parker has translated as "disgustingness", means essentially a deficiency in shame. "Traitors and law-breakers are '*miarot*', because it is shamelessness that causes them to disregard normal constraints. The '*miaros*' is an animal, lacking the self-control that is the first requisite of life in society" (1983, p. 5). For the Greeks, then, knowledge of moral rules and a proper sense of shame were crucial indicators of humanity, and the repression of animality emerges as a central issue in *miasma*. Social violations, especially if committed without shame, were indicators of barbarism or monstrosity.

A further clue to the nature of socio-moral disgust comes from the Hopi. Michael Wozniak, an undergraduate student at the University of Chicago, interviewed five Hopis in Arizona about their scripts for emotional experiences. They mentioned the word thyoyaeiwai as the best Hopi equivalent of "disgust". Four of them listed the following situations as elicitors of thyoyaeiwai: seeing a dead person; incest; disregard for the environment; and any form of aggression. The mix of physical and socio-moral events should be noted. When asked to describe what they felt in their body, all four said, "sick" or "nauseous".

The fifth Hopi was most informative. When asked about the situations that led to thyoyaeiwai, he said:

Anything that would be deviant to Hopi teachings and belief could be seen as disgusting to some degree. The Hopi way of life was handed down to us by Massau'u, and it is important to keep to the right path. Often this is believed to be opposite of the White way, no offense. But it can be found that most Hopi believe there are two ways of life in the world, the traditionally good way of the Hopi and the way of the White man. Hopi believe that the world will end and that there will be a time of judgment, and

that we're now in the time of koyaanisqatsi, it means world out of balance. It is the time just before the end of the world. You can see it in things like mistreatment of the environment, wars, etc. We can't stop the world from ending but koyaanisqatsi is a time of suffering and fear and it's wrong to cause such trouble.

This image of the world as being out of balance, caused by (or reflected in) immoral human action, may be a key to understanding socio-moral disgust. We will attempt to integrate our analysis of core disgust with our cross-cultural data on socio-moral disgust.

The Embodiment of Cognition

We have argued that core disgust is an emotion that makes people cautious about foods and animal contaminants of foods. We have argued that disgust has extended among Americans to become not just a guardian of the mouth, but also a guardian of the "temple" of the body, and beyond that, a guardian of human dignity in the social order. And finally, we have argued that this expansion, from food to the social order, is not unique to Americans, but can be found in some form in many cultures. We have also observed, most clearly in the contrast of Japanese and Americans, that the kinds of social issues linked to disgust may be quite variable. We will try to explain why disgust tends to expand from the body to society, and also why the expansion to society shows the greatest cultural variation.

The answer may perhaps be found in a controversial but growing view of human cognition: that it is *embodied* (Lakoff, 1987; Varela, Thompson, & Rosch, 1991), and that it may involve metaphors and pattern matching more than propositions and reasoning. Margolis (1987) has argued that language and propositional reasoning are so recent in the evolution of the human brain that they are unlikely to be the basic processes of human cognition. According to him, cognition, for humans as well as animals, is primarily a matter of quick and intuitive

pattern matching, in which patterns get "tuned up" gradually by past experience. This view of cognition is consistent with current research on neural networks, which do not process information by manipulating symbols. Rather, we apply past patterns of action or recognition, quickly and intuitively, in new situations that resemble the original cuing conditions.

ing certain kinds of understandings possible that would not can stun, paralyse, or pull like a magnet. Lakoff and Johnson the understanding of physical attractiveness as a force that apply our force schemata to other domains as well, such as our bodily experience of force to the domain of ideas. We of an argument, we feel it because we imaginatively apply to Johnson, the extent to which we really do "feel" the force that we happen to have. be possible if we did not have bodies and bodily experiences have argued that metaphor is a basic cognitive process, mak-Y, unless I can find some argument Z to block it". According "I am drawn to conclusion X", or "I am forced to conclude derstood through image-schematic structures of force. We say, ample, it is only because we have bodies that we can know ference and has demonstrated how logic itself may be unto pass. Johnson has analysed the discourse about logical into move, or to suddenly have a block removed, allowing us what it is to be pushed and pulled, to be blocked as we try us to understand one domain in terms of another. For extures", growing out of our physical embodiment, that allow 1980), Johnson (1987) has mentioned "image-schematic strucacter". Building on his work with Lakoff (Lakoff & Johnson, body movement, and experience of a physical and social charof our conceptual systems is directly grounded in perception, experience and make sense in terms of it; moreover, the core used to put together our conceptual system grow out of bodily nition called "experiential realism", in which "the structures Lakoff (1987, p. xiv) has proposed a compatible view of cog

The ideas of Margolis, Lakoff, and Johnson can help us understand disgust. We will use the term "embodied schemata" to refer to imaginative structures or patterns of experience that are based on bodily knowledge or sensation. We have argued that people generally have ambivalent feelings about food, in which core disgust and sensation seeking oppose

each other to create approach-avoidance conflicts. Our daily interactions with food give us a rich set of embodied schemata, for example, "some food attracts me"; "some food makes me nauseous"; "the pleasures of food makes it worth the risk"; "washing removes danger". We have been compelled to express these schemata as propositions, but each one is meant to include feelings and sensations, including fear, interest, hunger and revulsion, plus bodily knowledge about chewing, swallowing, vomiting, and the feel of water.

These embodied schemata are easily cued (as Margolis would say) or applied metaphorically (as Lakoff and Johnson would say) to other domains. Ambivalence and disgust about sexuality has been noted not only in the West (Freud, 1905/1953), but among some non-Western groups as well (Gregor, 1985). Sexual ambivalence might employ some of the same embodied schemata as ambivalence about food: "some people attract me"; "the thought of sex with some people makes me nauseous"; "the pleasure of sex makes it worth the risk"; "washing removes danger". By providing schemata that are easily cued, our experiences with food might shape the way we experience sex (or vice versa).

The ambivalence towards food and sex can be found in other domains of disgust as well. It may be assumed that envelope violations, corpses, and body products would be thoroughly negative stimuli, like electric shock, that people would consistently avoid. Yet there are situations in which people routinely go out of their way, or even pay money, to look at these things. Examples include horror films, freak shows, rubber-necking at car accidents, and habitual looking at one's own bowel movements. Advertisements in pornographic magazines offer "stained panties". We have also noticed, in the course of our own research, that when we ask people "do you want to see something disgusting?" the answer is usually a cautious "yes". In sum, the domains of core disgust and animal reminder disgust show a similar tension between interest and fear, between sensation seeking and disgust.

À possible mechanism by which disgust expands from food and eating to a heterogeneous set of elicitors may be suggested here. It is useful to think of disgust elicitors as a prototypically defined category (Rosch & Mervis, 1975). In a prototypical

and hygiene, but not food. we are eating, can be seen as prototypical or central disgust ideally attractive body may be active in envelope violations and food, but not envelope violations. Schemata about the the foul smell of decaying animal flesh may be active in death be active in food and sex, but not death. Schemata about about cautiously taking organic matter into body orifices may central members, or with each other. For example, schemata gusting because they share one or more schemata with the as non-central members of the category, and they are dis-The disgust elicitors of animal reminder disgust can be viewed events, involving many of the embodied schemata of disgust experiences, such as finding half a cockroach in a sandwich feature shared by all members of the category. Core disgust 1953), all members are related, yet there may be no single category, as in a family resemblance structure (Wittgenstein

lives, and in socialising their children about what to avoid. schemata of core disgust in constructing their moral and socia & McCauley, 1993). Human societies take advantage of the evolution or in cultural evolution (Rozin, 1976; Rozin, Haidt, tion of new functions, may have happened either in biological nessed to other kinds of rejection. This harnessing, or accremay have been preadapted as a rejection system, easily harthings, including sexual and social "deviants". Core disgus pression. Human societies, however, need to reject many concerns about contamination, and its nasal/oral facial exfood rejection system, as indicated by its link to nausea, its human) rejection system. Core disgust was "designed" as a that core disgust be thought of as a very old (though uniquely co-opting of an existing system for a new function. We suggest to Mayr, the major source of evolutionary "novelties" is the by the mechanism of "preadaptation" (Mayr, 1960). According disgust, from core through socio-moral, may be explained gether by a set of shared schemata, then the elaboration of If the heterogeneous class of disgust elicitors is linked to-

The use of schemata from physical experience to understand, structure, and participate in social experience is not a new or radical notion in psychology. Piaget (1932/1965; Piaget & Inhelder, 1966/1969) proposed that children's early motor schemata are gradually developed, through accommodation

and assimilation, into concrete operations like reversibility, which is the basis of social reciprocity. (See Fiske, 1991, for a powerful theory in which four general cognitive models are the basic "structures of social life".)

Cultural Differences, Cultural Fears

competes with the metaphor of the body as a machine, but riott, 1976), and among the Hua of New Guinea (Meigs, 1984), social meanings must be arranged or filled in by each culture cultural process, yet the particular constellation of bodily and schemata in social life may be a universal psychological and uniformity" (Shweder et al., in press). The use of embodied mata and preadaptation, suggests "universalism without the and food choice, similarly, are regarded as personal issues therefore, not surprising that moral concerns about interdoes not threaten anyone else, except by direct touch. It is, chine. Pollution or contamination incurred by one individual it is always either an individual temple or an individual mathe West, in contrast, the metaphor of the body as a temple snakebite in the leg quickly spreads throughout the body. In by one person spreads to close family members, just as a people are thought to be linked together along blood lines in conceptions of the body. In India (Appadurai, 1981; Mar-One major source of variation arises from cultural differences (Shweder, Mahapatra, & Miller, 1987). in the United States, but as moral issues among many Indians life than they do in the United States. Many issues of hygiene personal contact play a greater role in Indian and Hua social in a web of shared bodily fluid, such that pollution incurred The account we have sketched, involving embodied sche-

A second source of cultural variation arises from the multiplicity of potential threats to the self (or soul). We have described disgust as the guardian of the temple of the body, fending off things that threaten to pull the self down, or "degrade" it. We may now return to the puzzling difference we found between American and Japanese socio-moral disgust items. These items reveal what threatens the American self, and what threatens the Japanese self.

The European existentialists felt nausea and dread as they contemplated the senseless slaughter of the Second World War. They felt nausea because, in the West, meaninglessness is perhaps the greatest threat to the self. When people are so casually stripped of life, or of dignity, the implication is that life is cheap, and there is nothing of value to be respected. The Americans in our data similarly felt threatened and disgusted by the senseless murders that happen around them every day, and by people who strip others of their dignity, including racists, rapists, and child abusers. American morality, with its obsessive emphasis on rights, is an attempt to shore up the fragile dignity of the individual. A threat to the rights of a foetus is seen by some Americans as a threat to the dignity of all human life.

social disgust experiences listed by Americans, since ken'o and disgust guard against different threats to different selves. success of their own integration into the demanding and ciety, which is a healthy state of affairs according to Durkheim dependence of the Japanese self (Markus & Kitayama, 1991) ken'o experiences listed by the Japanese differed from the extreme cases one may be cut off, or ignored. Thus, social self (jiko) may occur when this integration is not attained. In hypercomplex Japanese social world. The threat to the Japanese threat to the self: the threat of not achieving the proper fit. individual meaninglessness, it carries with it an alternative (1897/1951). But if this tighter binding removes the threat of meaninglessness that haunt Americans (Bellah et al., 1985). may protect Japanese people from the anomie and sense of does not seem to be the primary threat to the self. The inter-The Japanese subjects' descriptions of ken'o focused on the The Japanese appear to be more tightly bound into their so-For the Japanese, in contrast, individual meaninglessness

That the degradation/elevation of the self depends crucially on the state of the social world was illustrated most clearly by the Hopi quoted earlier: you feel *thyoyaeiwai* when the world is "out of balance". But the image of "balance" here is not that of a scale, which can be set right or re-balanced. The image of balance invoked by the Hopi is that of a tall object that has been pushed away from the upright position, and is about to come crashing down. This too is an embodied

sickening moment before the end of the world. The Old Tesan apocalyptic pamphlet quoted by Shweder, Much, Mahaand Brahmins drink alcohol and visit brothels, according to chaos in which incest taboos are violated, widows remarry, now living in the time of "Kali Yuga", a time of sin and social wars." Hindu Indians have a similar notion: they say we are see this chaos in "mistreatment of the environment land" crashing to the floor. Koyaanisqatsi is that time, "just before tween the time we lose control and the time the thing comes in the days before the flood or the fire. tament story of Noah, as well as Christian visions of the apocapatra, and Park (in press). Kali Yuga, like koyaanisqatsi, is the rules and forces no longer apply, and life is chaos. The Hopi the end of the world". During that time of imbalance the usual We watch helplessly during that brief moment of dread, bemoment when a heavy object tilts past the point of no return. schema, for we all know from our physical experience the lypse, reveal similar themes of social chaos and moral decay

onclusion

away, avoiding certain people, or simply changing the topic self and the threat. Protection may involve washing, looking push away, or otherwise draw a protective line between the unique to a particular time and place. Disgust, or some subset of a conversation. heterogeneous class of threats. Disgust makes us step back, of its embodied schemata, is the emotional response to this individual meaninglessness, may be cultural constructions aspects of human bodily experience. Other threats, such as these threats, such as oral contamination, may be inescapable that one cannot simply run away from or fight off. Some of Fear is an effective response to threats that cannot be challenged, which one can run away from. Yet there are threats to one's rights, or one's property, which can be challenged. of threats. Anger is a proper and effective response to threats Anger, fear and disgust may be responses to different kinds for which fear and anger are not appropriate. There are threats

128/J. HAIDT, P. ROZIN, C. McCAULEY and S. IMADA

In conclusion, socio-moral disgust is not a quirk of the English language. People in all cultures have bodies which provide them with rich sets of embodied schemata. Each culture draws from these schemata to spin its own particular "webs of significance", upon which its social and moral life is based.

REFERENCES

- ANGYAL, A. (1941). Disgust and related aversions. Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 36, 393–412.
- APPADURAI, A. (1981). Gastro-politics in Hindu South Asia. American Ethnologist, 8, 494-511.
- BECKER, E. (1973). The denial of death. New York: The Free Press.
- Bellah, R.N., Madsen, R., Sullivan, W., Swidler, A., & Tipton, S.M. (1985). Habits of the heart. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Darwin, Charles. (1872/1965). The expression of the emotions in man and animals
 Chicago. Thiosephy of Chicago Press.
- Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

 Thorago: M. (1966) Purity and danger London: Routledge and Kegan Paul.
- DOUGLAS, M. (1966). Purity and danger. London: Routledge and Kegan Paul. Durkheim, E. (1951). Suicide (J. Spaulding & G. Simpson, Trans). New York: The Free Press. (Original work published 1897)
- EXMAN, P., & FRIESEN, W.V. (1978). Facial action coding system. Palo Alto, CA. Consulting Psychologists Press.
- FALLON, A.E., & ROZIN, P. (1983). The psychological bases of food rejections by humans. Ecology of Food and Nutrition, 13, 15-26.
- FISKE, A.P. (1991). Structures of social life. New York: The Free Press.
- FRAZER, J.G. (1890/1959). The golden bough: A study in magic and religion (T.H. Gaster, Ed.). New York: Macmillan. (Reprint of 1922 abridged ed. Original work published 1890)
- PREUD, S. (1905/1953). Three essays on the theory of sexuality. In J. Strachey (Ed. and Trans.), The standard edition of the complete psychological works of Sigmund Freud, Volume 7 (pp. 123–231). London: Hogarth Press.
- FULLER, C.J. (1992). The camphor flame. Popular Hinduism and society in India. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- GEERTZ, C. (1973). Thick description: Toward an interpretive theory of culture. In C. Geettz, The interpretation of cultures. New York: Basic Books.
- Gregor, Thomas. (1985). Anxious pleasures: The sexual lives of an Amazonian people. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- HAIDT, J., McCauley, C., & Rozin, P. (1993). The nature of disgust and a scale to measure it. Manuscript under review.
- IZARD, C.E. (1977). Human emotions. New York: Plenum Press.
- JOHNSON, M. (1987). The body in the mind. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. LAKOFF, G. (1987). Women, fire, and dangerous things. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

The Relationship between Disgust and Morality/129

- LAKOFF, G., & JOHNSON, M. (1980). Metaphors we live by. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- LEACH, E. (1964). Anthropological aspects of language: Animal categories and verbal abuse. In E. Lenneberg (Ed.), New directions in the study of language (pp. 23–64). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- MAISON, L. (1964/1972). Wolf children (E. Fawcett, P. Ayrton & J. White, Trans.) (Original work published 1964)
- MARGOUS, H. (1987). Patterns, thinking, and cognition. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Markus, H.R., & Кпахама, S. (1991). Culture and the self: Implications for cognition, emotion, and motivation. *Psychological Review*, 98, 224–253.
- MARRIOTT, M. (1976). Hindu transactions: Diversity without dualism. In B. Kapferer (Ed.), Transaction and meaning: Directions in the anthropology of exchange and symbolic behavior. Philadelphia: ISHI Publications.
- MAUSS, M. (1902/1972). A general theory of magic (R. Brain, Trans.). New York:
 W. W. Norton. (Original work published 1902. Esquisse d'une theorie generale de la magie. L'Annee Sociologique, 1902–1903)
- MAYR, E. (1960). The emergence of evolutionary novelties. In S. Tax (Ed.), Evolution after Darwin. Volume 1. The evolution of life. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Meics, Anna. (1984). Food, sex, and pollution: A New Guinea religion. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press.
- NEMEROFF, C., & ROZIN, P. (1989). "You are what you eat": Applying the demand-free "impressions" technique to an unacknowledged belief. Ethos: The Journal of Psychological Anthropology, 17, 50–69.
- ——. (1992). Sympathetic magical beliefs and kosher dietary practice: The interaction of rules and feelings. Ethos: The Journal of Psychological Anthropology, 20, 96–115.
- ORINER, S.B. (1973). Sherpa purity. American Anthropologist, 75, 49-63.
- PARKER, R. (1983). Miasma. Pollution and purification in early Greek religion. Oxford: Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press.
- Placer, J. (1965). The moral judgement of the child (M. Gabain, Trans.). New York: Free Press. (Original work published 1932)
- PIAGET, J., & ÎNHELDER, B. (1969). The psychology of the child (H. Weaver, Trans.).

 New York: Basic Books. (Original work published 1966)
- Rosch, Eleanor, & Mervis, Carolyn. (1975). Family resemblances: Studies in the internal structure of categories. *Cognitive Psychology*, 8, 382–439.

 Rozin, P. (1976). The evolution of intelligence and access to the cognitive un-
- conscious. In J. A. Sprague & A. N. Epstein (Eds), Progress in psychobiology and physiological psychology, Volume 6 (pp. 245–280). New York: Academic Press.
- —— (1990). Social and moral aspects of food and eating. In I. Rock (Ed.), The legacy of Solomon Asch. Essays in cognition and social psychology. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- ROZIN, P., EBERT, R., & LOWERY, L. (1992). Varieties of disgust faces and the structure of disgust. Manuscript under review.
- ROZIN, P., & FALLON, A. E. (1980). Psychological categorization of foods and non-foods: A preliminary taxonomy of food rejections. *Appetite*, 1, 193–201.

130/J. HAIDT, P. ROZIN, C. McCAULEY and S. IMADA

- ROZIN, P., & FALLON, A. (1987). A perspective on disgust. Psychological Review, 94, 23-41.
- ROZIN, P., FALLON, A.E., & AUGUSTONI-ZISKIND, M. (1985). The child's conception of food: The development of contamination sensitivity to "disgusting" substances. *Developmental Psychology*, 21, 1075–1079.
- ROZIN, P., HAIDT, J., & McCAULEY, C. (1993). Disgust. In M. Lewis & J. Haviland (Eds), The handbook of emotions. New York: Guilford Publications.
- ROZIN, P., HAMMER, L., OSTER, H., HOROWITZ, T., & MARMORA, V. (1986). The child's conception of food: Differentiation of categories of rejected substances in the 16 months to 5 year age range. Appetite, 7, 141–151.
- the 16 months to 5 year age range. Appettie, 7, 141–151.

 ROZIN, P., MILIMAN, L., & NEMEROFF, C. (1986). Operation of the laws of sympathetic magic in disgust and other domains. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 50, 703–712.
- ROZIM, P., & NEMEROFF, C. (1990). The laws of sympathetic magic. In J. Stigler, R. Shweder, & G. Herdt (Eds), Cultural psychology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- ROZIN, P., NEMEROFF, C., WANE, M., & SHERROD, A. (1989). Operation of the sympathetic magical law of contagion in interpersonal attitudes among Americans. Bulletin of the Psychonomic Society, 27, 367–370.
- SHWEDER, R.A., MAHAPATRA, M., & MILLER, J. (1987). Culture and moral development. In J. Kagan & S. Lamb (Eds), *The emergence of morality in young children*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- SHWEDER, R.A., MUCH, N.C., MAHAPATRA, M., & PARK, L. (in press). The "big three" of morality (autonomy, community, and divinity), and the "big three" explanations of suffering, as well. In A. Brandt & P. Rozin (Eds), *Morality and bealth* Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Siegal, M. (1988). Children's knowledge of contagion and contamination as causes of illness. *Child Development*, 59, 1353–1359.
- Soler, J. (1973/1979). The semiotics of food in the Bible. In R. Forster & O. Ranum (Eds and Trans), Food and drinking history (pp. 126–138). Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press. (Original work published 1973)
- TAMBIAH, S.J. (1969). Animals are good to think and good to prohibit. *Ethnology*, 8, 423–459.
- THOMAS, KEITH (1983). Man and the natural world. New York: Pantheon.
- TYLOR, E.B. (1871/1974). Primitive culture: Researches trito the development of my-thology, philosophy, religion, art and custom. New York: Gordon Press. (Original work published 1871)
- VARELA, F., THOMPSON, E., & ROSCH, E. (1991). The embodied mind. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Wittgenstein, Ludwig. (1953). Philosophical investigations. New York: Macmillan Zuckenwan, M. (1979). Sensation seeking. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

Jonaiban Haidt is Assistant Professor of Psychology at the University of Virginia. His research interests include cultural construction of emotion and morality. He has done fieldwork in India.

The Relationship between Disgust and Morality/131

Paul Rozin is Professor of Psychology at the University of Pennsylvania. He has done work on disgust, eating behaviour and related issues in a developmental-cultural context.

Clark McCauley is engaged in research on sociocultural processes involved in emotional behaviour at Bryn Mawr College, USA.

Sumio Imada is working on expressions of emotions in a cross-cultural context at the Hiroshima-Shudo University, Japan.

World Psychology

An International Journal Published by the International Council of Psychologists (ICP)

Edited by Uwe P. Gielen, St. Francis College, Brooklyn, NY 11201, USA. Fax: (718)-522-1274

World Psychology explores how psychology is conceptualized, practiced, and taught around the world. It features articles on how psychology is taught internationally, critical discussions on the role of international psychologists, reviews of cross-cultural research, interviews with some of the world's leading psychologists, and reviews of psychology books.

Articles for 1995-1997 include:

Anand C. Paranjpe on the denial and affirmation of self in East and West Pittu Laungani on death and bereavement in India and England Sarlito W. Sarwono on psychology in Indonesia Fathali M. Moghaddam & Rom Harré on traditional and alternative approaches to the study of social behavior Henry S.R. Kao on psychology in Hong Kong Zhenyun Wu on the psychology of aging in China Hans J. Eysenck on cross-cultural psychology and the unification of psychology

Subscription Order Form: World Psychology Quarterly Please enter my subscription to World Psychology: ISSN 1078-8468

Send Order To:
