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Abstract

We show how to write the solution to the generalized drift Skorokhod problem in one-dimension in terms of the supremum of the solution of an unrestricted integral equation (that is, an integral equation with no boundaries). As an application of our result, we equate the transient distribution of a reflected Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (O-U) process to the first hitting time distribution of an O-U process (that is not reflected). Then, we use this relationship to approximate the transient distribution of the $GI/GI/1 + GI$ queue in conventional heavy traffic and the $M/M/N/N$ queue in a many-server heavy traffic regime.
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1 Introduction

The Skorokhod problem was originally introduced by Skorokhod [14] in order to study continuous solutions to stochastic differential equations with a reflecting boundary at zero.

**Definition (Skorokhod Problem).** Given a process $X \in D([0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$, we say that the pair of processes $(Z, L) \in D^2([0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ satisfy the Skorokhod problem for $X$ if the following four conditions are satisfied,

1. $Z(t) = X(t) + L(t)$ for $t \geq 0$,
2. $Z(t) \geq 0$ for $t \geq 0$,
3. $L$ is non-decreasing with $L(0-) = 0$,
4. $\int_0^{\infty} 1\{Z(t) > 0\} dL(t) = 0$.

It is well known that for each $X \in D([0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$, the unique solution $(Z, L) = (\Phi(X), \Psi(X))$ to the Skorokhod problem is

$$Z(t) = X(t) + \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} -X(s) \vee 0 \text{ and } L(t) = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} -X(s) \vee 0.$$ (1)

In subsequent papers, the Skorokhod problem has been extended to multiple dimensions and also to include both smooth and non-smooth domains (see, for example, Chaleyat-Maurel et al [3], Dupuis and Ishii [5], Harrison and Reiman [7], Ramanan [12], Tanaka [16]), although we do not treat such cases in the present paper. There is also an explicit solution to the (one-dimensional) Skorokhod problem when there is an upper boundary (see Kruk et al [8] [9]) and to the (one-dimensional) Skorokhod problem in a time-dependent interval (see Burdzy et al [2]).
In this paper, we study a generalization of the one-dimensional Skorokhod problem that incorporates a state-dependent drift.

**Definition (Generalized Drift Skorokhod Problem in One Dimension).** Given a process \( X \in D([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}) \) with \( X(0) = 0 \) and a Lipschitz continuous function \( f : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R} \), we say that the pair of processes \((Z, L) \in D^2([0, \infty), \mathbb{R})\) satisfy the Skorokhod problem for \( X \) with state dependent drift function \( f \) if the following four conditions are satisfied,

1. \( Z(t) = X(t) - \int_0^t f(Z(s))ds + L(t) \) for \( t \geq 0 \),

2. \( Z(t) \geq 0 \) for \( t \geq 0 \),

3. \( L \) is non-decreasing with \( L(0^-) = 0 \),

4. \( \int_0^\infty 1\{Z(t) > 0\}dL(t) = 0 \).

The unique solution to the generalized drift Skorokhod problem in one dimension can be written in terms of the solution to the Skorokhod problem following a standard construction; see, for example Zhang [21]. Specifically, set

\[
(Z, L) = (\Phi(M(X)), \Psi(M(X))),
\]

for \( M : D([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}) \to D([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}) \) the mapping that sets \( M(X) = V \) for \( V \) that solves the integral equation

\[
V(t) = X(t) - \int_0^t f(\Phi(V(s)))ds
\]

with initial condition \( V(0) = 0 \). Note that since \( f : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R} \) is a Lipschitz continuous function, a standard Picard iteration shows that there exists a unique solution to (3). The fact that \((\Phi(M(X)), \Psi(M(X)))\) solves the Skorokhod problem for \( M(X) \) (and so satisfies conditions 1-4 in
the definition of the Skorokhod problem) shows that conditions 1-4 in the definition of the generalized drift Skorokhod problem are satisfied. The uniqueness of the representation (2) follows from the uniqueness of the mappings $\mathcal{M}$ and $(\Phi, \Psi)$.

The issue is that for any given $X \in D([0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$, it is not obvious how to represent $Z$ in terms of the solution of an unrestricted integral equation (that is, an integral equation with no boundaries). We establish that

$$Z(t) = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} Z_s(t - s), \quad t \geq 0,$$

(4) for $Z_s = \{Z_s(t), t \geq 0\}$ that solves

$$Z_s(t) = X(s + t) - X(s) - \int_0^t f_e(Z_s(u)) du,$$

(5)

and $f_e : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ any extension of $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ that preserves the Lipschitz continuity of $f$. For one example, let $f_e(x) = f(0)$ if $x < 0$ and $f_e(x) = f(x)$ if $x \geq 0$.

As an application of the representation (4), we show how to use (4) to write the transient distribution of a reflected Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (O-U) process in terms of the first hitting time distribution of an unreflected O-U process, which additionally yields a uniform integrability result for reflected O-U processes. Such a result can also be derived using duality theory (see, for example, Cox and Rosler [4] and Sigman and Ryan [13]); however, the proof methodology is much different, because there is no sample path representation that is equivalent to (4) in either [4] or [13]. Because the reflected O-U process has been shown to approximate the $GI/GI/1+GI$ and $M/M/N/N$ queues (see Ward and Glynn [19] and Srikant and Whitt [15]), we see that the transient distribution of the number-in-system process for the $GI/GI/1+GI$ and $M/M/N/N$ queues can be approximated by the first hitting time distribution of an O-U process (that is not reflected).

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 proves (4). Section 3 applies (4) in the context of a reflected O-U process. Section 4 performs simulation studies that support
approximating the transient distribution of the number-in-system process for the GI/GI/1 + GI and M/M/N/N queues with the first hitting time distribution of an O-U process (that is not reflected).

2 The Generalized Drift Skorokhod Problem Solution (in One Dimension)

In this section, we establish (4).

**Theorem 2.1.** Let \((Z, L)\) be the unique solution to the generalized Skorokhod problem for \(X\) with \(X(0) = 0\), and with state dependent drift function \(f\) that is Lipschitz continuous. For each \(s \geq 0\), let \(Z_s\) be defined as in (5). Then, for each \(t \geq 0\),

\[
Z(t) = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} Z_s(t - s).
\]

**Proof.** We first claim that for each \(0 \leq s \leq t\),

\[
Z_s(t - s) \leq Z(t).
\]

To see this, first recall from (5) that \(Z_s(t - s)\) is the solution to the equation

\[
Z_s(u) = X(s + u) - X(s) - \int_0^u f_e(Z_s(v))dv,
\]

evaluated at the point \(u = t - s\), where \(f_e : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}\) is an arbitrary Lipschitz extension of \(f : \mathbb{R}_+ \mapsto \mathbb{R}\). Next, it is straightforward to see from part (1) of the definition of the generalized Skorokhod problem
that \( Z(t) \) is the unique solution to the equation

\[
Z(s + u) = Z(s) + (X(s + u) - X(s) + L(s + u) - L(s)) - \int_0^u f_e(Z(s + v))dv, \quad u \geq 0, \quad (7)
\]

also evaluated at the point \( u = t - s \) (note that in (7) we have replaced \( f \) by \( f_e \)). Subtracting (6) from (7) we therefore obtain that

\[
(Z(s + u) - Z_s(u)) = Z(s) + L(s + u) - L(s) - \int_0^u (f_e(Z(s + v)) - f_e(Z(s(v))))dv, \quad u \geq 0.
\]

Note also that by the Lipschitz continuity of \( f_e \), we have that for some constant \( K > 0, \)

\[
(Z(s + u) - Z_s(u)) \geq Z(s) + L(s + u) - L(s) - K\int_0^u |Z(s + v) - Z_s(v)|dv, \quad u \geq 0. \quad (8)
\]

Now consider the solution \( W_s = \{W_s(u), u \geq 0\} \) to the ordinary differential equation

\[
W_s(u) = Z(s) + L(s + u) - L(s) - K\int_0^u |W_s(v)|dv, \quad u \geq 0. \quad (9)
\]

We claim that

\[
W_s(u) = Z(s)e^{-Ku} + \int_0^u e^{K(v-u)}dL(s + v), \quad u \geq 0.
\]

This may be verified by noting that \( W_s(u) \geq 0 \) for \( u \geq 0 \), since \( Z(s) \geq 0 \) and \( L \) is a non-decreasing function. Subtracting (9) from (8) and using Gronwall’s inequality, it follows that \( Z(s + u) - Z_s(u) \geq W_s(u) \geq 0 \) and so \( Z(s + u) \geq Z_s(u) \), which, evaluating at \( u = t - s \), yields
\(Z_s(t - s) \leq Z(t)\), our desired result. We have therefore shown that

\[ Z(t) \geq \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \{Z_s(t - s)\}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (10)

It now remains to reverse the direction of the inequality in (10). In order to do so, it suffices to show that there exists at least one point \(s^*\) such that \(Z_{s^*}(t - s^*) = Z(t)\). Let \(s^* = \sup\{s \leq t : Z(s) = 0\}\) be the last time at which the process \(Z\) hit zero. Note that \(s^*\) is well defined since \(Z(0) = 0\). Also note that \(L(s) = L(s^*)\) for \(s \geq s^*\). Thus, by (7), we have that

\[ Z(s^* + u) = X(s^* + u) - X(s^*) - \int_0^u f_e(Z(s^* + v)) dv, \quad u \geq 0, \] \hspace{1cm} (11)

and so, \(Z(s^* + u) = Z_{s^*}(u)\) for \(0 \leq u \leq t - s^*\), and, in particular \(Z(t) = Z_{s^*}(t - s^*)\), which completes the proof.

\(\square\\

3 \textbf{Reflected Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (O-U) Processes}\\

In this section we let the process \(X\) in the definition of the generalized Skorokhod problem be a Brownian motion with constant drift \(\theta\) and infinitesimal variance \(\sigma^2\) defined on a suitable probability space \((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})\). We also set \(f(x) = \gamma x\) for \(x \geq 0\), for some \(\gamma \in \mathbb{R}\). The resulting process \(Z\), defined sample pathwise as the solution to the generalized Skorokhod problem for \(X\) and \(f\), is referred to as a \((\sigma, \theta, \gamma)\) reflected O-U process, that has initial condition \(Z(0) = 0\). It is immediate that the following definition of a reflected O-U process is equivalent to the prescription given above.

\textbf{Definition (Reflected O-U Process).} Let \(B = \{B(t), t \geq 0\}\) be a standard Brownian motion defined on a probability space \((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})\) and let \(\sigma > 0\), and \(\theta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}\). We say that the process
$Z$ is a $(\sigma, \theta, \gamma)$ reflected O-U process if the following four conditions are satisfied $\mathbb{P}$-a.s.

1. $Z(t) = \sigma B(t) + \theta t - \gamma \int_0^t Z(s)ds + L(t)$ for $t \geq 0$,

2. $Z(t) \geq 0$ for $t \geq 0$,

3. $L$ is non-decreasing with $L(0-) = 0$,

4. $\int_0^\infty 1\{Z(t) > 0\}dL(t) = 0$.

Now for each $s \geq 0$, recall from (5) the definition of the associated unreflected processes

$$Z_s(u) = (\sigma B(s + u) + \theta(s + u)) - (\sigma B(s) + \theta s) - \gamma \int_0^u Z_s(v)dv,$$

$u \geq 0$, where here we have set $X(t) = \sigma B(t) + \theta t$, and we take the natural extension $f_e(x) = \gamma x$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. For clarity of exposition in the sequel, we now hold $t \geq 0$ fixed and define the new process

$$Y_t(u) = Z_{t-u}(u), \quad 0 \leq u \leq t.$$

Since $\{Y_t(u), 0 \leq u \leq t\}$ is just the process $\{Z_s(t - s), 0 \leq s \leq t\}$ run backwards in time, it follows that

$$\sup_{0 \leq u \leq t} \{Y_t(u)\} = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \{Z_s(t - s)\},$$

and so from Theorem 2.1 we have that if $Z$ is a $(\sigma, \theta, \gamma)$ reflected O-U process, then

$$Z(t) = \sup_{0 \leq u \leq t} \{Y_t(u)\}. \quad (12)$$

In preparation for our next result, we now say that a process $X$ is a $(\sigma, \theta, \gamma)$ O-U process starting from $X(0)$ (note the absence of reflection here) if it is the unique strong solution to the stochastic
differential equation

\[ X(t) = X(0) + \sigma B(t) + \theta t - \int_0^t \gamma X(s) ds, \]

for \( t \geq 0 \), where \( B \) is a standard Brownian motion. We then make the following claim regarding the process \( \{ Y_t(u), 0 \leq u \leq t \} \).

**Proposition 3.1.** \( \{ e^{\gamma u} Y_t(u), 0 \leq u \leq t \} \) is equal in distribution to a \((\sigma, \theta, -\gamma)\) O-U process on \([0, t]\) which starts from zero.

**Proof.** First note that since \( X(t) = \sigma B(t) + \theta t \) is a Brownian motion with infinitesimal variance \( \sigma^2 \) and constant drift \( \theta \), it follows that for each \( s \geq 0 \), the process \( X_s = \{ X(s + t) - X(s), t \geq 0 \} \) is also Brownian motion with the same parameters and so we have that for each \( s \geq 0 \), the process \( Z_s = \{ Z_s(u), u \geq 0 \} \) is an O-U process whose explicit solution is given by

\[ Z_s(u) = (\theta/\gamma)(1 - e^{-\gamma u}) + \int_0^u \sigma e^{\gamma(v-u)} dB_s(v), \quad u \geq 0, \]

where \( B_s = \{ B(s + t) - B(s), t \geq 0 \} \).

Setting \( Y_t(u) = Z_{t-u}(u) \), it therefore follows that

\[ Y_t(u) = (\theta/\gamma)(1 - e^{-\gamma u}) + \int_0^u \sigma e^{\gamma(v-u)} dB_{t-u}(v). \]

However, since \( dB_{t-u}(v) = dB(t - u + v) \), the change with respect to \( v \), it follows that making the
change of variables $\zeta = u - v$, we have that the above becomes

$$Y_t(u) = \frac{\theta}{\gamma}(1 - e^{-\gamma u}) + \int_0^u \sigma e^{\gamma (v-u)} dB(t-u+v)$$

$$= \frac{\theta}{\gamma}(1 - e^{-\gamma u}) + \int_0^u \sigma e^{-\gamma \zeta} dB(t-\zeta)$$

$$= \frac{\theta}{\gamma}(1 - e^{-\gamma u}) - \int_0^u \sigma e^{-\gamma \zeta} dB(t-\zeta).$$

However, it clear that the above, as a process, is also equal in distribution to

$$\frac{\theta}{\gamma}(1 - e^{-\gamma u}) + \int_0^u \sigma e^{-\gamma t} dB(t), \ u \geq 0.$$ 

Multiplying both sides of the above by $e^{\gamma u}$, we then obtain that

$$e^{\gamma u}Y_t(u) = (-\frac{\theta}{\gamma})(1 - e^{\gamma u}) + \int_0^u \sigma e^{-\gamma (t-u)} dB(t),$$

which is just an Ornstein-Uhlebeck process on $[0,t]$ with infinitesimal variance $\sigma^2$, constant drift $\theta$ and linear drift $-\gamma$.

The following is now our main result of this section which relates the distribution of the supremum appearing in (12) to the first hitting distribution of an O-U process. Let

$$\sigma_x = \inf\{t \geq 0 : U(t) = x\},$$

where $U = \{U(t), t \geq 0\}$ is an O-U process with parameters $(\sigma, -\gamma x + \theta, -\gamma)$ and started from 0. In other words, $\sigma_x$ is the first hitting time of $x$ by $U$. We then have the following proposition.
Proposition 3.2. For each \( t \geq 0 \),

\[
P(Z(t) \geq x) = P(\sigma_x \leq t).
\]

Proof. Note that for each \( x \geq 0 \)

\[
\left\{ \sup_{0 \leq u \leq t} Y_t(u) \geq x \right\} = \{ \inf \{ u : Y_t(u) \geq x \} \leq t \}
\]

\[
= \{ \inf \{ u : e^{\gamma u} Y_t(u) \geq e^{\gamma u} x \} \leq t \}
\]

\[
= \{ \inf \{ u : x(1 - e^{\gamma u}) + e^{\gamma u} Y_t(u) \geq x \} \leq t \}.
\]

Now, by Proposition 3.1, \( \{ x(1 - e^{\gamma u}) + e^{\gamma u} Y_t(u), u \geq 0 \} \) is simply an O-U process with infinitesimal variance \( \sigma^2 \), constant drift \( -\gamma x + \theta \) and linear drift \( -\gamma \). The result then follows immediately.

\( \square \)

Sigman and Ryan [13] establish an equivalent result to Proposition 3.2; however, their proof methodology is much different. In particular, [13] relates the transient distribution of any continuous-time, real-valued stochastic process that can be defined recursively (either explicitly in discrete time or implicitly in continuous time, through the use of an integral equation) to the ruin time of a dual risk process. There is no result in [13] that is equivalent to Theorem 2.1, which is the basis for our proof of Proposition 3.2.

3.1 Computing the First Hitting Time

In order to use Proposition 3.2 to compute \( P(Z(t) \geq x) \), it is necessary that the distribution of \( \sigma_x \) is known. Fortunately, there are various results in the literature available for computing the first hitting time distributions of O-U processes. Linetsky [10] provides a spectral expansion for the first hitting time of O-U processes and the results of Alili et al [1] provide three different means to
compute various probabilities associated with this hitting time. In what follows, we use the results in [1].

Let \( p^{(\sigma, \theta, \gamma)}_{x_0 \rightarrow x} \) denote the density of the distribution of \( \sigma_x \) for a \((\sigma, \theta, \gamma)\) O-U process, so that we may write

\[
P(\sigma_x \leq t) = \int_0^t p^{(\sigma, \theta, \gamma)}_{x_0 \rightarrow x}(s) \, ds, \quad t \geq 0.
\] (13)

[1] shows how to calculate \( p^{(1,0,\gamma)}_{x_0 \rightarrow x} \) when \( \gamma > 0 \). Since we are interested in the more general case, we first express \( p^{(\sigma, \theta, \gamma)}_{x_0 \rightarrow x} \) in terms of \( p^{(1,0,\gamma)}_{x_0 \rightarrow x} \). In order to do this, note that since a \((\sigma, \theta, \gamma)\) O-U process starting from \( x_0 \) has the same distribution as a \((1, \theta/\sigma, \gamma)\) O-U process starting from \( x_0/\sigma \), it follows that

\[
p^{(\sigma, \theta, \gamma)}_{x_0 \rightarrow x}(t) = p^{(1,0,\gamma)}_{x_0/\sigma \rightarrow x/\sigma}(t), \quad t \geq 0.
\] (14)

Next, Remark 2.5 in [1] shows that

\[
p^{(1,\theta/\sigma, \gamma)}_{x_0/\sigma \rightarrow x/\sigma}(t) = p^{(1,0,\gamma)}_{x_0/\sigma \rightarrow x/\sigma}(t) \cdot (1/\sigma), \quad t \geq 0.
\] (15)

When \( x - \theta/\gamma = 0 \), the above expression may be immediately evaluated because

\[
p^{(1,0,\gamma)}_{\zeta \rightarrow 0}(t) = \frac{|\zeta|}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left( \frac{\lambda}{\sinh(\lambda t)} \right)^{3/2} \exp \left( -\frac{\lambda\zeta^2 e^{-\lambda t}}{2\sinh(\lambda t)} + \frac{\lambda t}{2} \right),
\] (16)

as is found in Pitman and Yor [11] and reproduced in (2.8) in [1]. Otherwise, when \( x - \theta/\gamma \neq 0 \), one must appeal to one of the three representations in [1] (one that hinges on an eigenvalue expansion, one that is an integral representation, and one that is given in terms of a functional of a 3-dimensional Bessel bridge) in order to compute \( P(\sigma_x \leq t) \).
To compute the transient distribution of the \((\sigma, \theta, \gamma)\) reflected O-U process \(Z\), we first apply Proposition 3.2, and then use the distributional equalities (14) and (15) as follows

\[
P(Z(t) \geq x) = P(\sigma_x \leq t) \quad (17)
\]

\[
= \int_0^t p_{0 \rightarrow x}(s)ds \\
= \int_0^t P_{0 \rightarrow \frac{x}{\gamma}}(s)ds \\
= \int_0^t p_{\frac{1}{\sigma} \rightarrow \frac{x}{\gamma}}(s)ds.
\]

We double-check the calculation (17) by recalling that it also follows [13]. Specifically, Proposition 4.3 in their paper establishes that

\[
P(Z(t) \geq x) = P(\sigma^R \leq t), \quad (18)
\]

where \(\sigma^R\) is the first time a \((\sigma, -\theta, -\gamma)\) O-U process with initial point \(x > 0\) becomes negative. To see that (17) and (18) are equivalent, first observe that

\[
P(\sigma^R \leq t) = \int_0^t p_{\frac{1}{\sigma} \rightarrow \frac{\theta}{\sigma}}(s)ds \\
= \int_0^t p_{\frac{1}{\sigma} \rightarrow \frac{\theta}{\sigma}}(s)ds \\
= \int_0^t p_{\frac{1}{\sigma} \rightarrow \frac{\theta}{\sigma}}(s)ds,
\]

where the second and third equalities follow from (14) and (15). Then, since symmetry implies that

\[
p_{\frac{1}{\sigma} \rightarrow \frac{x}{\gamma}}(s) = p_{\frac{1}{\sigma} \rightarrow \frac{x}{\gamma}}(s),
\]

we conclude that \(P(\sigma_x \leq t) = P(\sigma^R \leq t)\).
3.2 Uniform Integrability

It is well known (see, for example, Proposition 1 in Ward and Glynn [18]) that if $\gamma > 0$, then for a $(\sigma, \theta, \gamma)$ reflected O-U process, $Z(t) \Rightarrow Z(\infty)$ as $t \to \infty$, where $Z(\infty)$ is a normal random variable with mean $\theta/\gamma$ and variance $\sigma^2/(2\gamma)$ conditioned to be positive. We now show that the sequence of random variables $\{Z(t), t \geq 0\}$ is uniformly integrable as well.

Proposition 3.3. If $\gamma > 0$, then for a $(\sigma, \theta, \gamma)$ reflected O-U process started at the origin, the sequence of random variables $\{Z(t), t \geq 0\}$ is uniformly integrable.

Proof. First note that without loss of generality we may assume that $\sigma = 1$ since otherwise we may rescale. Now recall that by Proposition 3.2, it follows that $P(Z(t) \geq x) = P(\sigma_x \leq t)$, where $\sigma_x = \inf\{t \geq 0 : U_t = x\}$, where $U_t$ is an O-U process with parameters $(1, -\gamma x + \theta, -\gamma)$ which is started from 0. Hence, it suffices to show that there exists a function $g$ integrable on $\mathbb{R}^+$ such that $P(\sigma_x \leq t) \leq g(x)$ for all $x, t \geq 0$.

Next, it follows from (17) that

$$P(\sigma_x \leq t) = \int_0^t p_{\theta/\gamma-x \to \theta/\gamma}^{(1,0,-\gamma)}(s) ds.$$ 

Remark 2.4 in [1]

1 shows that

$$p_{\theta/\gamma-x \to \theta/\gamma}^{(1,0,-\gamma)}(s) = \exp \left( \gamma \left( \frac{\theta^2}{\gamma^2} - \left( \frac{\theta}{\gamma} - x \right)^2 - s \right) \right) p_{\theta/\gamma-x \to \theta/\gamma}^{(1,0,\gamma)}(s).$$

\footnote{We note that there is a missing negative sign in the display appearing in Remark 2.4 in [1]; specifically, the correct equation is

$$p_{\lambda \to a}^{(\lambda)}(t) = \exp (-\lambda(a^2 - x^2 - t)) p_{\lambda \to a}^{(-\lambda)}(t).$$}
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Hence

\[
P(\sigma_x \leq t) = \exp \left( -\gamma \left( x^2 - 2 \frac{\theta}{\gamma} x \right) \right) \int_0^t \exp(-\gamma s)p_{\theta/\gamma-\theta/\gamma}(s)ds
\]

\[
\leq \exp \left( -\gamma \left( x^2 - 2 \frac{\theta}{\gamma} x \right) \right),
\]

where the last inequality follows since

\[
\int_0^\infty p_{\theta/\gamma-\theta/\gamma}(s)ds = 1.
\]

Finally, since for \( \gamma > 0 \),

\[
\int_0^\infty \exp \left( -\gamma \left( x^2 - 2 \frac{\theta}{\gamma} x \right) \right) \ < \ \infty,
\]

the proof is complete.

\[\square\]

## 4 Approximating the Transient Distribution of the GI/GI/1 + GI and M/M/N/N Queues

In this section, we perform simulation studies that support using the first hitting time distribution of an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (O-U) process (that is not reflected) to approximate the transient distribution of the number-in-system process for the GI/GI/1 + GI queue (Section 4.1) and the M/M/N/N queue (Section 4.2).
4.1 The GI/GI/1 + GI Queue

The $M/M/1+M$ queueing model assumes that customers arrive according to a Poisson process with rate $\lambda$ to an infinite waiting room service facility, that their service times form an i.i.d. sequence of exponential random variables having mean $1/\mu > 0$, and that each customer independently reneges if his service has not begun within an exponentially distributed amount of time that has mean $1/\gamma > 0$. Theorem 2 in Ward and Glynn [17] supports approximating the number-in-system process $Q = \{Q(t), t \geq 0\}$ by a $(\sqrt{2\lambda}, \lambda - \mu, \gamma)$ reflected O-U process $Z$.

The more general $GI/GI/1 + GI$ queueing model assumes that the customer arrival process is a renewal process with rate $\lambda$, the service time distribution is general with mean $1/\mu$, and that each customer independently reneges if his service has not begun within an amount of time that is distributed according to some probability distribution function $F$. In the case that $F$ has a density and $F'(0) > 0$ is finite, Theorem 3 in Ward and Glynn [19] combined with the arguments in the proof of Theorem 2 in [17] shows that $Q$ may be approximated by a $(\sqrt{2\lambda}, \lambda - \mu, F'(0))$ reflected O-U process. Note that this is consistent with the approximation for $Q$ in the previous paragraph since the value of the density of an exponential random variable at 0 is equal to its rate.

Our results in Section 3 (specifically, Proposition 3.2 and equation (17)) then imply for the $M/M/1 + M$ case that

$$P(Q(t) \geq x) \approx P(Z(t) \geq x)$$

$$= \int_0^t p_{\frac{(1,0,-\gamma)}{\gamma \sqrt{2x}}}^{(\lambda - \mu, -\gamma)}(s)ds,$$

when $Q(0) = 0$. For the $GI/GI/1 + GI$ case, one may replace $\gamma$ with $F'(0)$ in the above. Hence we have an approximation for the transient distribution for the number-in-system process in a GI/GI/1+GI queue. Note that the theory in [17] and [19] suggests that the approximation in (19) will be good when $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are close, and when $\gamma$ is small compared to $\lambda$ and $\mu$ (that is, the
Figure 1: Simulated and approximated results for the $M/M/1+M$ queueing model when $\lambda = \mu = 0.5$, and $\gamma = 0.001$, so that $P_R = 3.41\%$, and $\gamma = 0.01$, so that $P_R = 9.79\%$. $P_R$ is the steady-state percentage of arriving customers that renege.

percentage of customers reneging is not too large). For related work, we refer the interested reader to Fralix [6], who derives the time-dependent moments of an $M/M/1+M$ queue, and then uses those to obtain the time-dependent moment expressions for reflected O-U.

We now proceed to verify the approximation (19) in an $M/M/1+M$ model via simulation. Note that even in the case of a $M/M/1+M$ model, the problem of finding an exact expression for its transient distribution appears to be very difficult (as is suggested by the computations in Whitt [20], which provide some performance measure expressions in terms of transforms for a many server model with reneging). Figure 1 shows that the approximation (19) is very accurate, both
Figure 2: Simulated and approximated results for the $GI/GI/1 + GI$ queueing model when the inter-arrival and service time distributions are Gamma$(2,2)$ and the reneging distribution $F$ is uniform on $[0,1000]$ for calculating the probability that the system is non-empty for a range of $t$ values, and for finding the entire distribution of $Q(t)$ for a fixed $t$. The simulation results shown are averaged over 10,000 runs, stopped at the relevant time value. Note that we chose $\lambda = \mu$ so that we could use the very simple expression (16) when computing $P(Z(t) \geq x)$. When $\lambda \neq \mu$, there is another source of error that comes into the approximation (19) that is due to the methodology in [1] for computing the hitting time density function of an O-U process.

Figure 2 verifies the approximation (19) in a $GI/GI/1 + GI$ queueing model. Note that the relevant approximating reflected O-U process is exactly the same as in the $M/M/1 + M$ queueing
model in Figure 1, (a) and (c). We observe that the transient distribution approximation is good for “medium” \( t \) but not for “small” \( t \). (The simulation results in Ward and Glynn [19] imply that the approximation is good for “large” \( t \), when the system is close to its steady-state.) The \( GI/GI/1 + GI \) queue that we simulated had simulated steady-state mean number-in-system 18.12, and simulated mean number-in-system at times \( t = 100, t = 200, \) and \( t = 500 \) of 7.73, 10.43, and 14.43 respectively. Then, the displayed P-P plots for \( P(Q(t) < x) \) in Figure 2 are such that the transient distribution is relevant (and not the steady-state distribution).

4.2 The \( M/M/N/N \) Queue

The \( M/M/N/N \) queueing model assumes that customers arrive at rate \( \lambda > 0 \) in accordance with a Poisson process to a service facility with \( N \) servers and no additional place for waiting, and that their service times form an i.i.d. sequence of exponential random variables with mean \( 1/\mu \). Any arriving customer that finds \( N \) customers in the system is blocked from receiving service, and so is lost. Suppose that we let the number of servers in the system be a function of the arrival rate \( \lambda \), and assume that

\[
N^\lambda = \frac{\lambda + \beta \sqrt{\lambda}}{\mu} \quad \text{for} \quad \beta \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

Then, Srikant and Whitt [15] shows that

\[
\frac{N^\lambda - Q^\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \Rightarrow Z, \quad \text{as} \quad \lambda \to \infty,
\]
where $Z$ is a $(\sqrt{2}, \beta, \mu)$ RO-U process. Hence our results in Section 3 (specifically, Proposition 3.2 and equation (17)) imply that

$$P(Q(t) \leq x) = P\left(\frac{N - Q(t)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \geq \frac{N - x}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right)$$

$$\approx P\left(Z(t) \geq \frac{N - x}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right)$$

$$= \int_0^t p^{(1,0,-\mu)}_{\frac{N-2}{\sqrt{\lambda}} - x} \mu^{N-\lambda}(s) ds,$$

when $Q(0) = N$.

Figure 3: Simulated and approximated results for the $M/M/N/N$ queueing model.
Figure 3 compares simulated results for the $M/M/N/N$ queue to values obtained using the approximation in (20). We see that the approximation becomes more accurate as $N$ becomes larger, which is as expected. The simulation results shown are the average over 10,000 runs, stopped at the relevant time value.
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