165 Growth II

Analyst Estimates

Analyst Forecasts of Growth

- While the job of an analyst is to find under and over valued stocks in the sectors that they follow, a significant proportion of an analyst's time (outside of selling) is spent forecasting earnings per share.
 - Most of this time, in turn, is spent forecasting earnings per share in the next earnings report
 - While many analysts forecast expected growth in earnings per share over the next 5 years, the analysis and information (generally) that goes into this estimate is far more limited.
- Analyst forecasts of earnings per share and expected growth are widely disseminated by services such as Zacks and IBES, at least for U.S companies.

How good are analysts at forecasting growth?

 Analysts forecasts of EPS tend to be closer to the actual EPS than simple time series models, but the differences tend to be small

	Study	Group tested	Analyst	Time Series
			Error	Model Error
Collins 8	& Hopwoo	od Value Line Forecasts	31.7%	34.1%
Brown 8	& Rozeff	Value Line Forecasts	28.4%	32.2%
Fried &	Givoly	Earnings Forecaster	16.4%	19.8%

- □ The advantage that analysts have over time series models
 - tends to decrease with the forecast period (next quarter versus 5 years)
 - tends to be greater for larger firms than for smaller firms
 - tends to be greater at the industry level than at the company level
- Forecasts of growth (and revisions thereof) tend to be highly correlated across analysts.

Are some analysts more equal than others?

- A study of All-America Analysts (chosen by Institutional Investor) found that
 - There is no evidence that analysts who are chosen for the All-America Analyst team were chosen because they were better forecasters of earnings. (Their median forecast error in the quarter prior to being chosen was 30%; the median forecast error of other analysts was 28%)
 - However, in the calendar year following being chosen as All-America analysts, these analysts become slightly better forecasters than their less fortunate brethren. (The median forecast error for All-America analysts is 2% lower than the median forecast error for other analysts)
 - Earnings revisions made by All-America analysts tend to have a much greater impact on the stock price than revisions from other analysts
 - The recommendations made by the All America analysts have a greater impact on stock prices (3% on buys; 4.7% on sells). For these recommendations the price changes are sustained, and they continue to rise in the following period (2.4% for buys; 13.8% for the sells).

The Five Deadly Sins of an Analyst

- <u>Tunnel Vision</u>: Becoming so focused on the sector and valuations within the sector that you lose sight of the bigger picture.
- <u>Lemmingitis</u>: Strong urge felt to change recommendations & revise earnings estimates when other analysts do the same.
- Stockholm Syndrome: Refers to analysts who start identifying with the managers of the firms that they are supposed to follow.
- <u>Factophobia</u> (generally is coupled with delusions of being a famous story teller): Tendency to base a recommendation on a "story" coupled with a refusal to face the facts.
- Dr. Jekyll/Mr.Hyde: Analyst who thinks his primary job is to bring in investment banking business to the firm.

Propositions about Analyst Growth Rates

- Proposition 1: There if far less private information and far more public information in most analyst forecasts than is generally claimed.
- Proposition 2: The biggest source of private information for analysts remains the company itself which might explain
 - why there are more buy recommendations than sell recommendations (information bias and the need to preserve sources)
 - why there is such a high correlation across analysts forecasts and revisions
 - why All-America analysts become better forecasters than other analysts after they are chosen to be part of the team.
- Proposition 3: There is value to knowing what analysts are forecasting as earnings growth for a firm. There is, however, danger when they agree too much (lemmingitis) and when they agree to little (in which case the information that they have is so noisy as to be useless).

171 Growth III

It's all in the fundamentals

Fundamental Growth Rates

172

Investment in Existing Projects \$ 1000

Χ

Current Return on Investment on Projects 12%

=

Current Earnings \$120

Investment in Existing Projects \$1000

X

Next Period's Return on Investment 12%

+

Investment in New Projects \$100

X

Return on Investment on New Projects 12%

Next Period's Earnings

132

Investment in Existing Projects \$1000

X

Change in ROI from current to next period: 0%

+

Investment in New Projects \$100

X

Return on Investment on New Projects 12%

Change in Earnings = \$ 12

Growth Rate Derivations

173

In the special case where ROI on existing projects remains unchanged and is equal to the ROI on new projects

Investment in New Projects Current Earnings	Χ	Return on Investment	=	<u>Change in Earnings</u> Current Earnings
<u>100</u> 120	X	12%	=	<u>\$12</u> \$120
Reinvestment Rate	X	Return on Investment	=	Growth Rate in Earnings
83.33%	X	12%	=	10%

in the more general case where ROI can change from period to period, this can be expanded as follows:

For instance, if the ROI increases from 12% to 13%, the expected growth rate can be written as follows:

$$\frac{\$1,000 * (.13 - .12) + 100 (13\%)}{\$1000 * .12} = \frac{\$23}{\$120} = 19.17\%$$

Aswath Damodaran

174

Estimating Fundamental Growth from new investments: Three variations

Earnings Measure	Reinvestment Measure	Return Measure
Earnings per share	Retention Ratio = % of net income retained by the company = 1 – Payout ratio	Return on Equity = Net Income/ Book Value of Equity
Net Income from non-cash assets	Equity reinvestment Rate = (Net Cap Ex + Change in non-cash WC – Change in Debt)/ (Net Income)	Non-cash ROE = Net Income from non-cash assets/ (Book value of equity – Cash)
Operating Income	Reinvestment Rate = (Net Cap Ex + Change in non- cash WC)/ After-tax Operating Income	Return on Capital or ROIC = After-tax Operating Income/ (Book value of equity + Book value of debt – Cash)

Aswath Damodaran

I. Expected Long Term Growth in EPS

- When looking at growth in earnings per share, these inputs can be cast as follows:
 - Reinvestment Rate = Retained Earnings/ Current Earnings = Retention Ratio
 - Return on Investment = ROE = Net Income/Book Value of Equity
- In the special case where the current ROE is expected to remain unchanged

Proposition 1: The expected growth rate in earnings for a company cannot exceed its return on equity in the long term.

Estimating Expected Growth in EPS: Wells Fargo in 2008

- □ Return on equity (based on 2008 earnings)= 17.56%
- Retention Ratio (based on 2008 earnings and dividends) = 45.37%
- Expected growth rate in earnings per share for Wells
 Fargo, if it can maintain these numbers.
 - Expected Growth Rate = 0.4537 (17.56%) = 7.97%

Regulatory Effects on Expected EPS growth

- Assume now that the banking crisis of 2008 will have an impact on the capital ratios and profitability of banks. In particular, you can expect that the book capital (equity) needed by banks to do business will increase 30%, starting now.
- Assuming that Wells continues with its existing businesses, estimate the expected growth rate in earnings per share for the future.

New Return on Equity =

Expected growth rate =

One way to pump up ROE: Use more debt

```
ROE = ROC + D/E (ROC - i (1-t)) where,
```

 $ROC = EBIT_t (1 - tax rate) / Book value of Capital_{t-1}$

D/E = BV of Debt/ BV of Equity

i = Interest Expense on Debt / BV of Debt

t = Tax rate on ordinary income

Note that Book value of capital = Book Value of Debt
 + Book value of Equity- Cash.

Decomposing ROE: Brahma in 1998

- Brahma (now Ambev) had an extremely high return on equity, partly because it borrowed money at a rate well below its return on capital
 - Return on Capital = 19.91%
 - Debt/Equity Ratio = 77%
 - After-tax Cost of Debt = 5.61%
 - Return on Equity = ROC + D/E (ROC i(1-t)) = 19.91% + 0.77 (19.91% - 5.61%) = 30.92%
- This seems like an easy way to deliver higher growth in earnings per share. What (if any) is the downside?

Decomposing ROE: Titan Watches (India) in 2000

- □ Return on Capital = 9.54%
- Debt/Equity Ratio = 191% (book value terms)
- □ After-tax Cost of Debt = 10.125%
- Return on Equity = ROC + D/E (ROC i(1-t))= 9.54% + 1.91 (9.54% 10.125%) = 8.42%

II. Expected Growth in Net Income from noncash assets

- The limitation of the EPS fundamental growth equation is that it focuses on per share earnings and assumes that reinvested earnings are invested in projects earning the return on equity. To the extent that companies retain money in cash balances, the effect on net income can be muted.
- A more general version of expected growth in earnings can be obtained by substituting in the equity reinvestment into real investments (net capital expenditures and working capital) and modifying the return on equity definition to exclude cash:
 - Net Income from non-cash assets = Net income Interest income from cash (1-t)
 - Equity Reinvestment Rate = (Net Capital Expenditures + Change in Working Capital) (1 Debt Ratio)/ Net Income from non-cash assets
 - Non-cash ROE = Net Income from non-cash assets/ (BV of Equity Cash)
 - Expected Growth_{Net Income} = Equity Reinvestment Rate * Non-cash ROE

Estimating expected growth in net income from non-cash assets: Coca Cola in 2010

- In 2010, Coca Cola reported net income of \$11,809 million. It had a total book value of equity of \$25,346 million at the end of 2009.
- Coca Cola had a cash balance of \$7,021 million at the end of 2009, on which it earned income of \$105 million in 2010.
- Coca Cola had capital expenditures of \$2,215 million, depreciation of \$1,443 million and reported an increase in working capital of \$335 million. Coca Cola's total debt increased by \$150 million during 2010.
 - Equity Reinvestment = 2215- 1443 + 335-150 = \$957 million
 - Non-cash Net Income = \$11,809 \$105 = \$ 11,704 million
 - Non-cash book equity = \$25,346 \$7021 = \$18,325 million
 - Reinvestment Rate = \$957 million/ \$11,704 million= 8.18%
 - Non-cash ROE = \$11,704 million/ \$18,325 million = 63.87%
 - Expected growth rate = 8.18% * 63.87% = 5.22%

III. Expected Growth in EBIT And Fundamentals: Stable ROC and Reinvestment Rate

- When looking at growth in operating income, the definitions are
 - Reinvestment Rate = (Net Capital Expenditures + Change in WC)/EBIT(1-t)
 - Return on Investment = ROC = EBIT(1-t)/(BV of Debt + BV of Equity-Cash)
- Reinvestment Rate and Return on Capital Expected Growth rate in Operating Income
 - = (Net Capital Expenditures + Change in WC)/EBIT(1-t) * ROC
 - = Reinvestment Rate * ROC
- Proposition: The net capital expenditure needs of a firm, for a given growth rate, should be inversely proportional to the quality of its investments.