

Session 16: Post Class tests

1. In recent years, analysts have shifted away from PE ratios to EV/EBITDA multiples in large segments of the equity markets. Which of the following is a sensible reason for this shift? (The others may be reasons but they may not be sensible).
 - a. EV/EBITDA multiples will yield values that are generally lower than PE ratios
 - b. EV/EBITDA multiples are not affected by growth
 - c. EBITDA is a good measure of free cash flow to the firm
 - d. EV/EBITDA can be compared across companies that use different depreciation methods
 - e. EBITDA can be used to service debt
 - f. All of the above
2. In computing the EV/EBITDA multiple, we estimate the enterprise value of a firm by adding together the values of debt and equity and netting out cash. Which of the following is the reason for netting out cash in computing this multiple?
 - a. Cash is easy to value.
 - b. Cash is liquid
 - c. Cash can be used to pay down debt
 - d. The income from cash is not part of EBITDA
 - e. None of the above
3. Infrastructure companies often trade at low multiples of EV to EBITDA. Which of the following is the best explanation for this phenomenon?
 - a. They pay little in taxes
 - b. They have high earnings
 - c. They have high growth
 - d. They have high depreciation and amortization
 - e. They have high net capital expenditures (difference between capital expenditures and depreciation)
4. You are trying to value Zimco Telecom Inc., a money losing company that reported EBITDA of -\$80 million in the most recent year on revenues of \$ 1 billion. You expect revenues to grow 6% a year for the next 5 years and the EBITDA/Revenue margin to improve to 8% by year 5. If healthy telecom companies trade at a multiple of 6 times EBITDA and you choose to apply this multiple to the fifth year's expected EBITDA, estimate the value of equity per share today. (You have a cost of capital of 12% for the next 5 years, a cash balance of \$ 50 million, debt outstanding of \$200 million and 12 million shares outstanding today.)
 - a. \$0.00
 - b. \$10.19
 - c. \$17.87
 - d. \$41.03
 - e. None of the above
5. You have run a regression of EV/EBITDA multiples across all companies in the market and arrived at the following:

$$\text{EV/EBITDA} = 5 + 80 * (\text{Growth rate}_{\text{Revenues}}) - 20 * (\text{Cost of capital}) - 12 * (\text{Effective tax rate})$$

Astor Inc. is a publicly traded company with EBITDA of \$100 million and enterprise value of \$ 480 million; it has an expected growth rate in revenues of 6% for the next 5 years and a cost of capital of 10%. Assuming that this stock is fairly priced, what is Astor's effective tax rate?

- a. 0%
- b. 15%
- c. 25%
- d. 40%
- e. 50%

Session 16: Post class test solutions

1. **c. EV/EBITDA can be compared across companies that use different depreciation methods.** Companies that use accelerated depreciation will report lower net income than companies that use straight line depreciation and may look more expensive on a PE ratio basis. None of the other reasons hold up: EV/EBITDA is affected by cost of capital, which can be affected by financial leverage and while EBITDA may be a measure of intermediate cash flow, it is not free (since you still have to pay taxes and cover capital expenditures).
2. **d. The income from cash is not part of EBITDA.** To preserve consistency, you have to net out the cash (and any other assets whose income is not part of EBITDA from the numerator).
3. **e. They have high net capital expenditures.** High depreciation, high earnings and lower taxes, by themselves, should push up your EV/EBITDA multiple. Having high net capital expenditures, holding growth constant, will lead to lower EV to EBITDA.
4. **c. \$17.87.** To get the value, you first need to estimate the expected EBITDA in year 5:

- Expected revenues in year 5 = $1000 * 1.06^5 = \$1,338$ million
- Expected EBITDA in year 5 = $1,338 * 0.08 = \$107.05$ million

Applying the EV/EBITDA multiple (6) for a healthy telecom firm

- Expected EV = $107.05 * 6 = \$642.3$ million

Discounting back at 12% for five years, we get:

- EV today = $\$642.3 \text{ million} / 1.12^5 = \364.5 million
- Equity value today = $\$364.5 + 50 - 200 = \214.5 million
- Equity value per share = $\$214.5 / 12 = \$17.87/\text{share}$

5. **c. 25%.** To estimate the growth rate, recognize that the firm is correctly priced right now:

Current EV/EBITDA multiple = $480/100 = 4.80$

Set equal to the expected value in the regression

$$4.80 = 5 + 80 * (0.06) - 20 * (0.10) - 12(\text{Tax rate})$$

Solve for the tax rate, tax rate = 25%